

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/618,344 | 07/10/2003 | Ulug Bayazit | 2102484-902001 | 5234 |
| 26379 | 7590 10/27/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| | R RUDNICK GRAY CA | VO, TUNG T | | |
| | ERSITY AVENUE TO, CA 94303-2248 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| D. TTEO TE | 710, 011 71303 2210 | · | 2621 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/27/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/618,344 | BAYAZIT, ULUG | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Tung Vo | 2621 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | • | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 13-16 and 24-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 and 17-23 is/are 5) Claim(s) 13-16 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 24-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | · . r . | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/618,344 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

1. Note claims 1-12, and 17-23 have been canceled. Claims 13-16, and 24-27 are pending in the present application.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 24-27 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 24-27 of copending Application No. 10/617,625, Publication No US 2004/0105586. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 13-16 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The cited prior art does not particularly disclose a method for assigning quantization parameters to the groups of blocks of a picture comprising the steps of: i. setting the quantization parameters of all groups of

Art Unit: 2621

blocks of the picture equal to the largest value allowed by the video coding standard; ii. scanning said groups of blocks according to a certain scanning order, where the last group of blocks in the scanning order is followed by the first group of blocks; iii. determining whether to code the next group of blocks in the said scanning order with the quantization parameter for the group of blocks; iv. decrementing the quantization parameter of said group of blocks; v. repeating steps (b)-(d) until the sum of the estimates for the number of coding bits of all of said groups of blocks exceeds the targeted number of coding bits, B.sup.TR, for the picture as specified in claim 13, see figure 4 of the present application.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,344 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tung V

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2621